

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/804,668	03/12/2001	E. George Wolf JR.	24187.2	1995
759	01/29/2003			
Linda W. Browning			EXAMINER	
COX & SMITH INCORPORATED 112 EAST PECAN STREET			REDDING, DAVID A	
SUITE 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN ANTONIO, TX 78205			1744	TAI ER NOMBER
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
	09/804,668	WOLF, E. GEORGE # 13				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David A Redding	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lation. 1 ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ny period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)						
3) Since this application is in condition fo	r allowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 15-27 is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign langu	age provisional application has t	peen received.				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for c	domestic priority under 33 O.S.C	. 33 120 dilator 121.				
Attachment(s)	4) 🗖 Into-dam	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper 	.948) 5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/804,668

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 15, 18, 19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 5,356,771 (O'Dell).

The O'Dell patent discloses a combined perfusion and oxygenation organ preservation apparatus comprising means for providing hyperbaric oxygenation and temperature control. The pumping chamber 76 containing perfusate, pumping device 41, membrane 31, chamber lid 64, all are considered to constitute an oxygenator. Tube 78 is considered to be equivalent to the claimed fluid delivery tube, being attached to the oxygenator and the biological entity. See description of figures 1-3a and column 2, lines 14- col.3.

3. Claims 15,18, 19, 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 3,772,153 (De Roissart).

De Roissart discloses an organ preservation apparatus which provides an hyperbaric oxygenated perfusate directly to an organ. Oxygen 25 is supplied to a perfusate container 6 for oxygenating the perfusate.

The perfusate is delivered to the organ container 2 via a pump 7. The tube 5 directly connecting the perfusate with the organ 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 16,17, and 20, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 3,772,153 (De Roissart)in view of USP 6,100,082 (Hassanein).

Hassanein discloses an organ perfusion device which includes means for adding chemical to the perfusate and monitoring the response of the organ and a filter for filtering the waste from the perfusate. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the means for adding chemicals and measuring and filter of the Hassanein device to the De Roissart device in view of the known advantages and benefits disclosed in Hassanein.

Art Unit: 1744

R spons to Applicants argum nts

- 7. Applicants arguments concerning the 112 second paragraph rejection are persuasive. Also, the arguments concerning the Reneau
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A Redding whose telephone number is 703-308-3910. The examiner can normally be reached on M,T,Th,Fr, 7:30-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden can be reached on 703-308-2920. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

> David A Redding Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

D.A.R. January 27, 2003